



TestSMART® Common Core Research Information

Dear Customer:

Thank you for your inquiry regarding the research base for TestSMART® Common Core Solutions.

ECS Learning Systems, Inc. depends on a variety of sources for information on the most successful instructional methods for the content areas. However, when preparing material for review and practice, we have found the research available from the following sources to be most useful.

TestSMART® Common Core Mathematics References

**All Web sites listed were active at time of publication.*

- Adams, T. (2003). Reading mathematics: More than words can say. *Reading Teacher*, 56, 786–795.
- Aiken, L. R. (1972). Language factors in learning mathematics. *Review of Educational Research*, 42(3), 359–385.
- Allington, R. L., & Johnston, P. H. (2002). *Reading to learn: Lessons from exemplary fourth-grade classrooms*. New York: Guilford.
- Barnes, D. (1976/1992). *From communication to curriculum*. London: Penguin. (2nd ed., 1992, Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook-Heinemann).
- Block, C. C., & Parris, S. R. (Eds.). (2008). *Comprehension instruction: Research-based best practices* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Brummett, B. (2010). *Techniques of close reading*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Butler, D. L., & Winnie, P. H. (1995). Feedback and self-regulated learning: A theoretical synthesis. *Review of Educational Research*, 65(3), 245–281.
- Chapin, S. H., O'Connor, C., & Anderson, N. C. (2009). *Classroom discussions: Using math talk to help students learn* (2nd ed.). Sausalito, CA: Math Solutions.
- Fennema, E., & Romberg, T. A. (Eds.). (1999). *Mathematics classrooms that promote understanding*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Fosnot, C. T. A. (Ed.). (1996). *Constructivism: Theory, perspectives, and practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Fosnot, C. T. (2005). Constructivism revisited: Implications and reflections. *The Constructivist*, 16(1).
- Fraivillig, J. L., Murphy, L. A., & Fuson, K. C. (1999). Advancing children's mathematical thinking in everyday mathematics classrooms. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 30(2), 148–170.
- Grouws, D. A. (2004). Chapter 7: Mathematics. In G. Cawelti (Ed.), *Handbook of research on improving student achievement* (3rd ed.). Arlington, VA: Educational Research Service.

- Harmon, J. M., Hedrick, W. B., & Wood, K. D. (2005). Research on vocabulary instruction in the content areas: Implications for struggling readers. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 21, 261–280.
- Harvey, S., & Daniels, H. (2009). *Comprehension and collaboration: Inquiry circles in action*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007, March). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112.
- Herbel-Eisenmann, B., & Cirillo, M. (Eds.). (2009). *Promoting purposeful discourse: Teacher research in mathematics classrooms*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- Hess, K. K. (2006). *Exploring Cognitive Demand in Instruction and Assessment*. Retrieved from National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment (NCIEA) Web site: http://www.nciea.org/publications/DOK_ApplyingWebb_KH08.pdf
- Hiebert, J., Carpenter, T. P., Fennema, E., Fuson, K. C., Wearne, D., Murray, H., Olivier, A., & Human, P. (1997). *Making sense: Teaching and learning mathematics with understanding*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Hufferd-Ackles, K., Fuson, K. C., & Sherin, M. G. (2004). Describing levels and components of a math-talk learning community. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 35(2), 81–116.
- Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (Eds.). (2001). *Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Michaels, S., O'Connor, C., & Resnick, L. B. (2008). Deliberative discourse idealized and realized: Accountable talk in the classroom and in civic life. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, 27(4), 283–297.
- National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB). (2012, October). *Mathematics framework for the 2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress*. Retrieved from National Assessment Governing Board, U.S. Department of Education Web site: <http://www.nagb.org/content/nagb/assets/documents/publications/frameworks/math-2013-framework.pdf>
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM). (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices/Council of Chief State School Officers (NGA/CCSSO). (2010, June). *Common Core State Standards for English language arts & literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects*. Retrieved from National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, Council of Chief State School Officers Web site: http://www.corestandards.org/assets/CCSSI_ELA%20Standards.pdf
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices/Council of Chief State School Officers (NGA/CCSSO). (2010, June). *Common Core State Standards for mathematics*. Retrieved from National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, Council of Chief State School Officers Web site: http://www.corestandards.org/assets/CCSSI_Math%20Standards.pdf
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices/Council of Chief State School Officers (NGA/CCSSO). (2012). *Common Core State Standards initiative*. Retrieved from National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, Council of Chief State School Officers Web site: <http://www.corestandards.org>
- National Governors Association Center for Best Practices/Council for Chief State School Officers (NGA/CCSSO). (2013, April). *K–8 publishers' criteria for the Common Core State Standards for mathematics*. Retrieved from National Governors Association Center for Best Practices, Council of Chief State School Officers Web site: http://www.corestandards.org/assets/Math_Publishers_Criteria_K-8_Spring%202013_FINAL.pdf
- National Mathematics Advisory Panel (NMAP). (2008). *Foundations for success: The final report of the National Mathematics Advisory Panel*. Retrieved from U.S. Department of Education Web site: <http://www.ed.gov/about/bdscomm/list/mathpanel/report/final-report.pdf>

- Newkirk, T. (2012). *The art of slow reading*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Noddings, N. (1990). Constructivism in mathematics education. In R. B. Davis, C. A. Maher, and N. Noddings (Eds.), *Constructivist views on the teaching and learning of mathematics* (pp. 7–18). Reston, VA: NCTM.
- North Carolina Department of Public Instruction. (2012, August). *3rd–8th grade mathematics: Unpacked content*. Retrieved from North Carolina Department of Public Instruction Web site: <http://www.ncpublicschools.org/acre/standards/common-core-tools/#unpacking>
- Palincsar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension-fostering and comprehension-monitoring activities. *Cognition and Instruction*, 1(2), 117–175.
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2008). *The thinker's guide to how to read a paragraph: The art of close reading*. Dillon Beach, CA: Foundation for Critical Thinking Press.
- Pearson, P. D., & Tierney, R. J. (1984). On becoming a thoughtful reader: Learning to read like a writer. In A. Purves and H. Niles (Eds.), *Becoming readers in a complex society* (pp. 143–173). Chicago, IL: National Society for the Study of Education.
- Petit, M., & Hess, K. K. (2006). *Applying Webb's depth-of-knowledge and NAEP levels of complexity in mathematics*. Retrieved from National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment (NCIEA) Web site: http://www.nciea.org/publications/DOKmath_KH08.pdf
- Piaget, J. (1970). *Structuralism*. New York: Basic Books.
- Piaget, J. (1985). *The equilibration of cognitive structures: The central problem of intellectual development*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Piaget, J., & Inhelder, B. (1969). *The psychology of the child*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Polya, G. (1957). *How to solve it*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday and Company.
- RAND Reading Study Group. (2002). *Reading for understanding: Toward an R&D program in reading comprehension*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corp.
- Raphael, D., & Wahlstrom, M. (1989). The influence of instructional aids on mathematics achievement. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 20(2), 173–190.
- Rowe, M. B. (1986). Wait time: Slowing down may be a way of speeding up! *Journal of Teacher Education*, 37, 43–50. Retrieved from SAGE Publications Web site: <http://www.sagepub.com/eis2study/articles/Budd%20Rowe.pdf>
- Schoenfeld, A. H. (1988). When good teaching leads to bad results: The disasters of “well taught” mathematics courses. *Educational Psychologist*, 23(2), 145–166.
- Shanahan, T. (2006). Relations among oral language, reading, and writing development. In C. A. MacArthur, S. Graham, and J. Fitzgerald (Eds.), *Handbook of writing research* (pp. 171–186). New York: Guilford Press.
- Silver, E. A. (1987). Using conceptual and procedural knowledge: A focus on relationships. In J. Hiebert (Ed.), *Conceptual and procedural knowledge: The case of mathematics* (pp. 181–198). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Simon, M. A. (2006). Key developmental understandings in mathematics: A direction for investigating and establishing learning goals. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 8(4), 359–371.
- Skemp, R. R. (1976, December). Relational understanding and instrumental understanding. *Mathematics Teaching*, 77, 20–26.

- Sowell, E. (1989). Effects of manipulative materials in mathematics instruction. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 20(5), 498–505.
- Tierney, R. J., & Shanahan, T. (1991). Research on the reading-writing relationship: Interactions, transactions, and outcomes. In R. Barr, M. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, and P. D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 2, pp. 246–280). New York: Longman.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (1999). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Webb, N. L. (1997, April). Criteria for alignment of expectations and assessments in mathematics and science education. *National Institute for Science Education Research Monograph*, 6.
- Webb, N. L. (1999). Alignment of science and mathematics standards and assessments in four states. *National Institute for Science Education Research Monograph*, 18.
- Webb, N. L. (2002, March 28). *Depth-of-Knowledge levels for four content areas*. Unpublished paper. University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Webb, N. L. (2002). *Alignment study in language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies of state standards and assessments for four states*. State Collaborative on Assessment & State Standards (SCASS). Technical Issues in Large-Scale Assessment (TILSA): University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Center for Education Research.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005) *Understanding by design* (2nd ed.). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- William, D. (2007). Keeping learning on track: Formative assessment and the regulation of learning. In F. K. Lester, Jr. (Ed.), *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 1051–1098). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- William, D., & Thompson, M. (2007). Integrating assessment with instruction: What will it take to make it work? In C. A. Dwyer (Ed.), *The future of assessment: Shaping teaching and learning*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Wood, D. S., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89–100.

Sincerely,
Lori Mammen
Editorial Director
ECS Learning Systems, Inc.
lmammen@ecslearningsystems.com